

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/463,525 | 01/26/2000 | JEAN-MARIE BERNARD | RN97085 | 6433 |
| 7: | 590 09/25/2003 | | | |
| JEAN LOUIS SEUGENT RHODIA INC 259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 CRANBURY, NJ 08512-7500 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SERGENT, RABON A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1711 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 00/25/2002 | , |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/463,525 | BERNARD, JEAN-MARIE | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Rabon Sergent | 1711 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | | rith the correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2003 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 | d to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3 14. | s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in) a timely filed Request for Continued | | | | |
| PERIOD FO | OR REPLY [check either a) or | b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply of ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(afee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pfee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Set | of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date expire later than SIX MONTHS from Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT a). The date on which the petition unperiod of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period he Office later than three months after than three months. | the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension nding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension If for reply originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 August 200 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ente | 7 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| (a) \(\sum \) they raise new issues that would require | | search (see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N | ** | harman tanialla and a singular and the site of the same | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | ation in better form for appear | by materially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) Methey present additional claims without ca | (d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following | rejection(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | would be allowable if submitte | d in a separate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesion application in condition for allowance because | | en considered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | d because it is not directed So | OLELY to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | lows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 27, 29, 30, 32, 37-40, 43, 45 | i-50, and 53-55. | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Rabon Sergent **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 2.: Applicant's proposed amendment is drawn to subject matter not previously claimed and, if entered, would require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, it is unclear from applicant's amendment that claim 45 has been canceled, since the text of the claim has been set forth. Also, contrary to applicant's response, claim 50 has not been canceled. Lastly, claims 29 and 31 have been canceled; however, claims 32 and 37-40 depend from the canceled claims.

Continuation of 5.: Applicant's response is based on amendments that will not be entered. Accordingly, the rejections have been maintained for the reasons set forth within the final Office action. Applicant has failed to set forth clear and concise rationale as to why it is believed that the relied upon reference is nonenabling; the lack of a U.S. counterpart is immaterial.

PRIMARY EXAMINER